

iUNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

Feedback to Non-Academic Departments on Assessment Activities Reported in 2005-2006 Annual Reports

DEPARTMENT__ STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES _DATE_ 02/22/07 _____

COMMITTEE MEMBER(S) CONDUCTING REVIEW Jon Jackson, Sharlette Seelan

1. STUDENT LEARNING GOALS

- Were any goals referenced? YES___ NO X QUALIFIED Y/N ___
- If so, were goals well articulated? YES___ NO ___ QUALIFIED Y/N ___
- Do goals address student learning? YES___ NO X QUALIFIED Y/N ___

In addition to the Departmental goals, please also consider UND’s Institutional and General Education goals for student learning (shown in alignment within parentheses). For each goal, use a Y (yes), N (no), or ? (qualified y/n or uncertain) to indicate whether this department has a similar or related goal.

- ? 1 Communication (“communicate effectively, both orally and in writing”)
- N 2 Critical/creative thinking (“think critically and creatively” and “be intellectually curious and creative”)
- N 3 Informed choices (“make informed choices”)
- N 4 Understanding across disciplines (“understand how conclusions are reached in the natural sciences, the social sciences, and the arts and sciences” and “acquire knowledge over a broad spectrum of subject areas”)
- N 5 Lifelong learning (“commit themselves to lifelong learning”)
- N 6 Cross-cultural appreciation (“develop some familiarity with cultures other than their own”)
- N 7 Service/citizenship (“commit themselves to...the service of others,” and “share responsibility both for their communities and for the world”)

Comments regarding Departmental goals and alignment of Departmental Goals with Institutional and General Education Goals:

Undergraduate and or Graduate, if appropriate:

N/A

2. ASSESSMENT METHODS

- Were any specific assessment methods referenced? YES___ NO X QUALIFIED Y/N ___
- If so, were specifically chosen assessment methods appropriately aligned with individual goals? YES___ NO ___ QUALIFIED Y/N ___
 - Were both direct and indirect assessment methods used as components of a “multiple measures” approach? YES___ NO X QUALIFIED Y/N ___

Comments:

Undergraduate and or Graduate, if appropriate:

3. ASSESSMENT RESULTS

- Were any assessment results reported? YES___ NO_ X _ QUALIFIED Y/N ___
- If so, were the results clear in terms of how they specifically affirm achievement of goals? YES___ NO___ QUALIFIED Y/N ___
 - If so, were the results clear in terms of how they indicate need for improvement? YES___ NO___ QUALIFIED Y/N ___
 - Were the results tied to goals for student learning? YES___ NO_ X _ QUALIFIED Y/N ___

In addition to departmental goals, some assessment results may be applicable to Institutional and General Education goals. A list of the latter goals is included below. Please indicate with a Y, N, or ? whether results reported are applicable to Institutional or General Education goal achievement. For items with a Y or a ?, please describe findings in the appropriate section below.

- __ N__ 1 Communication (“communicate effectively, both orally and in writing”)
__ N__ 2 Critical/creative thinking (“think critically and creatively” and “be intellectually curious and creative”)
__ N__ 3 Informed choices (“make informed choices”)
__ N__ 4 Understanding across disciplines (“understand how conclusions are reached in the natural sciences, the social sciences, and the arts and sciences” and “acquire knowledge over a broad spectrum of subject areas”)
__ N__ 5 Lifelong learning (“commit themselves to lifelong learning”)
__ N__ 6 Cross-cultural appreciation (“develop some familiarity with cultures other than their own”)
__ N__ 7 Service/citizenship (“commit themselves to...the service of others,” and “share responsibility both for their communities and for the world”)

Comments regarding results and the application of results to Departmental, Institutional and General Education Goals:

Undergraduate and or Graduate, if appropriate:

N/A

4. CLOSING THE LOOP

- Were any actions taken on the basis of assessment results reported? YES_____ NO_ X _ QUALIFIED Y/N ___
- If so, do curricular or other improvements/ changes arising from assessment results directly address goals for student learning? YES_____ NO___ QUALIFIED Y/N ___

Comments:

Undergraduate and or Graduate, if appropriate:

SUMMARY

Strengths

Areas for Improvement

- A specific plan for assessment is in place.
- Student learning goals are well-articulated.
- Assessment methods are clearly described.
- Assessment methods are appropriately selected.
- Assessment methods are well-implemented.
- Direct and indirect methods are implemented.
- Results are reported.
- Results are tied to closing the loop.
(Decision-making is tied to evidence.)

- No specific plan for assessment is in place.
- Student learning goals are not well-articulated.
- Assessment methods are not clearly described.
- Assessment methods are not appropriately selected.
- Assessment methods are not well-implemented.
- A single type of assessment methods predominates.
- No results are reported.
- Results are not clearly tied to closing the loop.
(Decision-making is not directly tied to evidence.)

OVERALL SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

I am led to presume that this program and its effectiveness are evaluated in some other way than the type of assessment learning goals used by academic departments. (For instance, my own anecdotal experience with the staff of SSS has been uniformly positive – the impact on student learning critical, and perhaps we need to help them develop measures or tools to document the impact that this program has on the success of the students who utilize it.)

Reviewer(s):	Name	Jon Jackson	Sharlette Seelan	_____
	Department	Anatomy	Housing Office	_____
	Phone Number	7-4911		
	e-mail	jackson@medicine.nodak.edu	sharletteseelan@mail.und.nodak.edu	

Section 1: NA Section 2: N Section 3: N Section 4: N

Coding Key:

- Y = yes, this is done appropriately and well
- N = no, this is not done at all, or it is not done in relationship to student learning
- NA = no information available
- ? = action or progress is apparent; however, evidence is lacking that this is completely and appropriately done