

UNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

Feedback to Non-Academic Units on Assessment Activities Reported in 2006-2007 Annual Reports

DEPARTMENT American Indian Student Services **DATE** March 31, 2008

COMMITTEE MEMBER(S) CONDUCTING REVIEW Cassie Gerhardt & Sharlette Seelan

1. STUDENT LEARNING GOALS

- Were any goals referenced? YES X NO QUALIFIED Y/N
- If so, were goals well articulated? YES X NO QUALIFIED Y/N
- Do goals address student learning? YES X NO QUALIFIED Y/N

Comments:

Undergraduate: Four learning goals were identified: 1) Successful retention and academic achievement 2) Practical competence to manage own transition and academic affairs 3) Academic workshop knowledge and acquisition of technology skills 4) Humanitarianism

In addition to the Departmental goals, please also consider UND's Institutional and General Education goals for student learning (shown in alignment within parentheses). Use 'U' (undergraduate) or 'G' (graduate) to identify UND/General Education goals which are similar to the referenced departmental goals.

- U 1 Communication ("communicate effectively, both orally and in writing")
- U 2 Critical/creative thinking ("think critically and creatively" and "be intellectually curious and creative")
- U 3 Informed choices ("make informed choices")
- 4 Understanding across disciplines ("understand how conclusions are reached in the natural sciences, the social sciences, and the arts and sciences" and "acquire knowledge over a broad spectrum of subject areas")
- 5 Lifelong learning ("commit themselves to lifelong learning")
- U 6 Cross-cultural appreciation ("develop some familiarity with cultures other than their own")
- U 7 Service/citizenship ("commit themselves to...the service of others," and "share responsibility both for their communities and for the world")

Comments regarding Departmental goals and alignment of Departmental Goals with Institutional and General Education Goals:

Some of the institutional goals are indirectly referenced in the AISS learning goals

2. ASSESSMENT METHODS

- Were any specific assessment methods referenced? YES X NO QUALIFIED Y/N
- If so, were specifically chosen assessment methods appropriately aligned with individual goals? YES X NO QUALIFIED Y/N
 - Were both direct and indirect assessment methods used as components of a "multiple measures" approach? YES X NO QUALIFIED Y/N

Comments:

Qualitative and quantitative survey is administered to students on their first visit to an AISS advisor and at the end of each academic year. In addition focus groups once per semester with AISS advisors, individual assistance, a living-learning community, orientation programs are some of the assessment methods identified in the annual report.

3. ASSESSMENT RESULTS

- Were any assessment results reported? YES NO QUALIFIED Y/N
- If so, were the results clear in terms of how they specifically affirm achievement of goals? YES NO QUALIFIED Y/N
 - If so, were the results clear in terms of how they indicate need for improvement? YES NO QUALIFIED Y/N
 - Were the results tied to goals for student learning? YES NO QUALIFIED Y/N

In addition to departmental goals, some assessment results may be applicable to Institutional and General Education goals. A list of the latter goals is included below. Use 'U' (undergraduate) or 'G' (graduate) to identify those results which are applicable to Institutional/General Education goal achievement. For these items, please describe findings in the appropriate section below.

- U 1 Communication ("communicate effectively, both orally and in writing")
 2 Critical/creative thinking ("think critically and creatively" and "be intellectually curious and creative")
 U 3 Informed choices ("make informed choices")
 4 Understanding across disciplines ("understand how conclusions are reached in the natural sciences, the social sciences, and the arts and sciences" and "acquire knowledge over a broad spectrum of subject areas")
 5 Lifelong learning ("commit themselves to lifelong learning")
 U 6 Cross-cultural appreciation ("develop some familiarity with cultures other than their own")
 U 7 Service/citizenship ("commit themselves to...the service of others," and "share responsibility both for their communities and for the world")

Comments regarding results and the application of results to Departmental, Institutional and General Education Goals:

The assessment results that were reported focused on the academic achievements and persistence of students involved in the AISS Program. Some results reference any specific student learning outcomes (some results: 83% indicated they improved their communication skills; 100% indicated they had increased their knowledge and understanding of other cultures; 100% indicated they had increased their awareness of their social responsibilities; 82% indicated that because of the contact with AISS they were informed about what was required of them to be successful students).

4. CLOSING THE LOOP

- Were any actions taken on the basis of assessment results reported? YES NO QUALIFIED Y/N
- If so, do curricular or other improvements/ changes arising from assessment results directly address goals for student learning? YES NO QUALIFIED Y/N

Comments:

The department has indicated that more effort will be placed on increasing attendance at the programs and also has plans to revise the questionnaires to keep responses directly related to student learning.

SUMMARY

Strengths

Areas for Improvement

- A specific plan for assessment is in place.
- Student learning goals are well-articulated.
- Assessment methods are clearly described.
- Assessment methods are appropriately selected.
- Assessment methods are well-implemented.
- Direct and indirect methods are implemented.
- Results are reported.
- Results are tied to closing the loop.
(Decision-making is tied to evidence.)

- No specific plan for assessment is in place.
- Student learning goals are not well-articulated.
- Assessment methods are not clearly described.
- Assessment methods are not appropriately selected.
- Assessment methods are not well-implemented.
- A single type of assessment methods predominates.
- No results are reported.
- Results are not clearly tied to closing the loop.
(Decision-making is not directly tied to evidence.)

OVERALL SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

The AISS assessment plan is available at <http://www.und.nodak.edu/dept/datacol/assessment/unsecure/vpsos/AISS.pdf> The goals are somewhat aligned to the institutional learning goals – some are directly referenced. A number of different methods of assessment are stated. It is apparent that there is an understanding of, and activities appropriate to student retention and student success, and the department is recognizing areas in which to improve.

Reviewer(s):	Name	<u> Cassie Gerhardt </u>	<u> Sharlette Seelan </u>
	Department	<u> Memorial Union – VPSOS </u>	<u> Housing -VPFO </u>
	Phone Number	<u> 777-3667 </u>	<u> 777-2059 </u>
	e-mail	<u> cassiegerhardt@mail.und.edu </u>	<u> sharletteseelan@mail.und.edu </u>

Section 1: Y Section 2: Y Section 3: Y Section 4: Y

Coding Key:

- Y = yes, this is done appropriately and well
- N = no, this is not done at all, or it is not done in relationship to student learning
- NA = no information available
- ? = action or progress is apparent; however, evidence is lacking that this is completely and appropriately done